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**Addressing Islamophobia Creatively in the Classroom**

“I met Hakim at Bristol International Airport. We started to speak about the life of Muslims in Europe, and he emphasised ‘After the 11th of September, for many Muslims the life has not radically changed. It has only become worst’” (Marranci, 2004, p. 111).

Introduction

The aim of this article is to provide educators with the background information and pedagogical approaches they need to teach about Islamophobia and to help students develop critical media skills. This article provides evidence of Islamophobia and hate speech in the media and the internet before and after 9/11 which educators can be use as background information in their lesson planning. The article provides ample examples of how Muslims are “othered”, misrepresented, painted as a homogenous group and how they are victims of rampant hate speech and hate crimes. With this background information, the article outlines four pedagogical approaches that educators can consider when teaching critical media skills.

Background on the War on Terror and Islamophopia

Islamophobia has been described as a form of racism (which is not based on physical features but on religious orientation) as well as an unfounded fear of Islam (Marranci, 2004). It focusses on misrepresenting the Muslim world, highlighting differences in worldviews and is presented as a lethal threat to western wealth and values. “Islamophobia is close-minded prejudice against or hatred of Islam and Muslims” (Council on American-Islamic Relations, 2013, p. 136).

This prejudice takes the form of hate speech and hate crimes. For example, in 2011, the Federal Bureau of Investigations reported that there were 157 anti-Muslim hate crimes committed. The agency reported 107 anti-Muslim hate crimes in 2009 and 160 in 2010 (CAIR, 2013, p. 57).

A report produced by the Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) found that in 2012, there were 37 groups in the United States whose prime purpose is to spread the hate of Islam and Muslims and 32 groups who regularly demonstrate anti-Islamic themes in their literature. Common themes include: “Islam is not a religion,” “Islam is a violent religion,” “All Muslims are violent extremists” and “Muslims plan to subjugate America and supplant the constitution” (CAIR, 2013, p. 37). After analyzing anti-Muslim rhetoric, the Council found distinct strategies used to promote hate speech. Some of the strategies include: “Frame Muslim-Americans as dangerous to America”, “twist statistics and fake research to prove the Muslim threat” and “defend liberty by taking away freedoms away from Muslims.” (CAIR, 2013, p. 39). The latter strategy is demonstrated by the Council’s findings that 78 bills were introduced into the legislatures of 29 states in 2012 which serve to vilify Islam. However, the most disturbing of the Council’s findings is the growing trend for the public acceptance of the denigration of Islam (CAIR, 2013).

In 2011 Councilwoman Deborah Pauly made a public statement outside a fundraising event for a Muslim charity in California where children were also present. She told a crowd, "What's going on over there [at the fundraiser] right now … that is pure, unadulterated evil," and “Make no mistake my friends, these who are assembling are enemies of America.” Later she added, "I know quite a few Marines who will be very happy to help these terrorists to an early meeting in paradise” (CAIR, 2013, p. 76). When a public figure is not held responsible for hateful remarks, it opens the flood gates for the electorate to do the same.

As another example, conservative journalists Ann Coulter is quoted as saying, “Not all Muslims are terrorists, but all terrorists are Muslim” (Coulter in Elver, 2012, p. 145).

There are hundreds of denigrating remarks made by public figures about Islam and Muslims who have incurred no consequences. If the speaker had been referring to any other established religious group, there would be consequences and public outcry (Elver, 2012, p. 168).

Another means of misrepresenting the Muslim world is to paint all Muslims with the same brush. “Politicians and journalists in the West often feel sufficiently qualified to paint psychological portraits of millions of ‘Orientals’ as if they are a single, homogeneous mass (Saeed, 2007, p. 458). A good example of the grave consequence of this misconception is the Sikh Gurdwara Killings in Wisconsin in 2012. This crime was the result of a white supremacist not knowing the difference between Sikhs and Muslims (Afridi, 2013).

There is substantial diversity among Muslims which is evident by the findings from the 2011 survey conducted by the Pew Research Center. They surveyed 1,033 Muslims who live in the United States and found that Muslims in the United States originate from at least 77 countries and include native-born African Americans and other converts to Islam. The breakdown is as follows:

* 41% come from the Middle East or North Africa.
* 26% come from South Asian nations including Pakistan (14%), Bangladesh (5%) and India (3%).
* 11% come from Sub-Saharan Africa, various countries in Europe (7%), Iran (5%), or other countries (9%).

Painting Muslims from the Middle East, Africa and South Asia with the same brush has led to mass ignorance on the cultural diversity of those who practice Islam. “Arabs and Muslims have been racialized, essentialized, and lumped together as a homogeneous, dangerous group that is dramatically different from mainstream American society (Elver, 2012, p. 152).

Because there is no differentiation between the groups, hate speech and hate crimes target anyone who may practice Islam which has led to multiple hate crimes committed as a result of mistaken identity.

Shortly after 9/11, Mark Strohman began shooting people who he believed were responsible for 9/11. He went into a store, asked the worker where he was from and shot him in the face. Strohman murdered two other people that day, one Muslim and one Hindu. “Strohman later testified that he was hunting Arabs, but none of the three men he killed were Arab” (CAIR, 2013, p. 45). These incidents unfortunately continue. In 2012, Erika Menendez pushed a person she had never met, Sunando Sen, a non-practicing Hindu, into a New York subway train. Sen was killed. Menendez told authorities, “I pushed a Muslim off the train tracks because I hate Hindus and Muslims. Ever since 2001 when they put down the Twin Towers, I’ve been beating them up” (CAIR, 2013, p. 57).

Misrepresentation has also led to ethnic profiling. Public opinion polls shortly after 9/11 revealed that 60% of Americans were in favour of ethnic profiling of Arabs or Muslims (Elver, 2012). On the second anniversary of 9/11,the then Secretary of Defense Ronald Rumsfeld, described 9/11 as a “blessing in disguise to give permission to the United States to do whatever they wanted to do in the Middle East” (Elver, 2012, p. 155). That blessing includes laying the foundation for President Bush to connect Iraq with 9/11. Not only did Bush receive public support for his war on terror but very little debate ensued about the invasion of Iraq (Gershkoff & Kushner, 2005). “By creating a cultural construction, the stereotype of a terrorist, as ‘the other’, leaders have been able to use extreme methods against terrorism, whether international or national, providing a space where human rights are restricted…(Evans, 2012, p. 71).Why did Americans support the war in Iraq knowing it would result in so many lost lives? Primarily it was because of the way the war was framed by the media. Gore Vidal who has been named as the conscience of America believes the war on terrorism is “like a war against dandruff. There’s no such thing as a war against terrorism. It’s idiotic. These are slogans. These are lies. It’s advertising, which is the only art form we ever invented and developed” (Barsamian, 2014).

Media’s contribution to Islamophobia

This section will examine how the media manipulates how we think about Muslims. First, examples are provided on hate speech printed in mainstream media which promote racist ideas and “others” the Muslim community. Second, examples are given that demonstrate the use of unbalanced reporting. Networks such as CNN and Fox notoriously lead with stories about the fraction of deadly and dangerous acts committed by Muslims and ignore the achievements of millions of Muslims around the world who lead decent, law-abiding lives. Third, examples of how blaming is used to reinforce stereotypes are provided. There are many examples of the media jumping on the terrorist train and defaming innocent Muslims for terrorist acts. Finally, evidence is provided on how the media has used the war on terror or the war on Muslims to sell newspapers but then examples are provided on how the media is also used by government bodies to put forward their political agendas.

Blatant hate speech

Esposito, a scholar on Islam stated, “There is no lack of hate speech in the media and in print to empower Islamophobia. The media, whose primary incentive is sales and circulation, caters to explosive, headline events: “What bleeds, leads” (Esposito, 2010, p. 2). Esposito found multiple right wing and anti-Islam blogs bash Muslims, Islam and those who support freedom of religion (Esposito & Lalwani, 2011).

Media Matters for America (MMFA, 2012) gathered a number of statements made on Fox News about Muslims which were presented as facts. These include “all terrorists are Muslims;” “Every terrorist on American soil has been a Muslim;” “Muslims tend to be more violent than Christians;” “A high percentage of Muslims hate Jews and Christians” and according to Crowder “the real problem is the Quran” (MMFA, 2012, p. 2-3). Hatred of this magnitude can cause great harm to our social fabric.

**Imbalance of reporting**

There is growing body of research that demonstrates the imbalance of images, and predominantly negative and hostile representations and discourses relating to Islam/Muslims in mainstream Western media. In fact, in recent years in the UK newspapers for example, the mention of “Muslims” increased over 250% (Saeed, 2007).

**Blaming and Mistaken Identity**

In his research on Islamophobia, Elver (2012) provides evidence of how Arabs and Muslims are blamed for brutal acts and othered by American journalists. He provides the example of the journalist Steven Emerson, who publically falsely ascribed the Oklahoma City bombing to Muslim terrorists. No apology was forthcoming for the error. The bomber, Timothy McVeigh was then represented in the media as a “disturbed individual” for killing 900 people. The word “terrorist” was not attached to his act. Is it reserved only for Arabs and Muslims? Other examples include James Holmes’ shooting rampage in Colorado at a movie theater and Jared Loughner who killed six people in Tucson, Arizona. Both were both labelled as mentally disturbed even though their attacks were premeditated. However, the ‘Boston Massacre’ committed by two brothers from Chechnya, a country with a Muslim majority, was labelled as “terrorism”. The suspects were immediately connected to a global network and the acts were categorized as global terrorism because the perpetrators were Muslim. (Afridi, 2013).

“Terrorism has taken the position of perpetrator and victim. For example, if a Muslim American woman were to be shot by a European American male, it would be seen as domestic violence but had she shot him, it would be read as terrorism and the focus of the piece would reinforce the global threat of Islam to America” (Afridi, 2013, p. 228).

When the explosions occurred in Norway in July 2011 the event was immediately reported as an act of Islamic terrorism. *The Wall Street Journal* and the *New York Times* attributed the act to an unknown terrorist group, “Ansar al-Jihad al-Alami.” They revised the articles once they found out that no such group exists with that name. Later police arrested Anders Behring Breivik, a 32-year-old, Norwegian, right-wing extremist who was a self-proclaimed Islamophobe.

**As a political tool**

The media reporting of 9/11 was relentless. For three days, the networks showed images of the falling Twin Towers repeatedly,

“as if repetition were necessary to master a highly traumatic event. The spectacle conveyed the message that the U.S. was vulnerable to terror attack, that terrorists could create great harm, and that anyone at anytime could be subject to a violent terror attack, even in Fortress America” (Kellner, 2004, p. 3-4).

The mainstream media quickly likened the event to the “clash of civilizations” whereas Muslims were “evil” (used five times by Bush in his opening remarks about 9/11) and uncivilized and therefore a military intervention must ensue. Kellner (2004) argues that the nature of a democracy is its capacity to look at emerging issues with a critical lens. That lens was missing right after 9/11 and was replaced by war fever created by the government and media. This was done by television and newspapers choosing to provide narrow views by conservative and right wing intellectuals underlining that this was a war against Islam and a war against good and evil. The Bush Administration was quoted as being committed to “smoke out and pursue… evil doers, those barbaric people. The semantically insensitive and dyslexic Bush administration also used cowboy metaphors, calling for bin Laden “dead or alive” (Kellner, 2004, p. 5). Bush repeatedly claimed that the war needed to be fought for American “freedom” which to Bush manifested in carte blanche to do whatever he wanted (Kellner, 2004). This included the extreme marginalization of those who practice Islam.

In 1994, Hall created a model to explain marginalization and how it was fueled by symbolic violence. In 1999, she added additional concepts, one of which was exteriorization which is an extreme form of marginalization. An exteriorized group not only operates on the margins, their voice is incomprehensible to the dominant group as they are considered expendable. According to Hall (1999), exteriorization is accomplished through symbolic violence which is transmitted through language. Hall uses the example of how words such as “freedom” and liberation which used to mean the freeing of the oppressed but now the American government has appropriated these words and communicates them back in such a way that they are understood but the real meaning is not challenged. An example of this is “Operation Iraqi Freedom” which associates death and bombing with the cherished American value of “freedom”.

Hate Speech on the Internet

Delgado & Stefancic (2014) found that hate speech aimed at and about African Americans, Latinos, women, Jews, gays, and Muslims is on the rise in cyberspace. Hate speech is “a broader pattern of antisocial behaviour” (p. 320) which has found a home on the internet as the perpetrators are anonymous. Unlike the impermanence of graffiti, hateful remarks on the internet are permanent, serve to erode public trust and weaken social bonds. “The way it works is that an idea is put forward, then again and again until it is believed without question. The Internet's dark side globally propels Dark Age beliefs to millions at the click of a mouse” (Baum in Delgado, 201, p. 329). It’s like cursing at other drivers on the road. Would you do it, if you knew they could hear you? The internet offers a forum for like-minded people to congregate and share their views knowing that no one is watching.

Delgado & Stefancic (2014) offer three solutions to combat hate speech on the internet. They are:

1. Unmasking. Denouncing an individual or group. (Confrontation theory). In a few court cases, this has worked.
2. Group condemnation. People need to organize themselves to condemn racist groups.
3. Economically boycotting individuals with businesses.

Despite ample examples of prejudice, hate and racism, a survey conducted by the Pew Research Centre in 2011 found no evidence of an increase in feelings of alienation or anger among Muslim Americans. Furthermore, the survey found no evidence of an increase in rising support for Islamic extremism by Muslim-Americans. A significant number of Muslim Americans (28%), did report being looked at with suspicion; 22% revealed that they had been called offensive names; 21% report being singled out by airport security, and 13% say they have been singled out by other law enforcement. The majority of respondents (52%) feel that their community is targeted unfairly in anti-terrorism policies and experience excessive surveillance and monitoring. “Nonetheless, Muslim Americans have not become disillusioned with the country. They are overwhelmingly satisfied with the way things are going in their lives (82%) and continue to rate their communities very positively as places to live (79% excellent or good)” (Pew Research Centre, 2011, p. 3 ).

Teaching about terror and hate

“The quality of life in the new millennium will depend much more on the capacity of human beings to find ways to resist the draw of victimizing and brutalizing others, and the seduction of joining those who build their sense of identity and value on the indignity of others” (Shapiro, 2005, p. 64).

How can we as educators foster an understanding of Islamophobia, its history and the subtle ways it manifests on the internet and in the media? How do you help students identify reports in the media that serve to encourage and institutionalize “Islamophobia”? What pedagogical approaches will lead students to stand up against this type of racism?

To help students analyze the role the media and the internet play in fostering prejudice, racism and hate, students need to be taught how to think deeply and critically about the subject matter. The impact of hate needs to have a face and voice and be brought down to the level of their community and friends. For students to take action for social change they then need to feel a degree of hope that their actions will be fruitful. I propose the use of multiple pedagogical techniques to achieve these aims. The first is put forward by Newmann & Wehlage (2002) who have suggestions for educators on how to achieve higher order thinking. Second, the application of peace education is proposed to foster critical thinking. Third, I propose integrating the use of storytelling as a means to personify the suffering invoked by Islamophobia. Finally I propose the use of “hope education” as put forward by Shapiro (2002) to spur students into action.

Higher order thinking

Newmann & Wehlage (2002) argue that instruction should aim for “authentic achievement” which includes students using inquiry to construct knowledge leading to meaningful discourse. They argue that content presented to students should contribute to “connectedness” which means the students should be able to apply and discuss what they learned inside the classroom to various contexts outside the classroom. Similar to Bloom’s (2001) taxonomy of educational objectives, Newmann & Wehlage (2002) make a distinction between lower level thinking (recitation/regurgitation of facts, repetitive routines and applying formula) and higher order thinking (manipulation of information which creates new meaning). For example, a lower level learning objectives would provide students with a checklist of inflammatory language and ask them to find examples in the media and the internet. Higher level learning objectives would include presenting a number of articles from the media and asking students to challenge what is claimed and to create an alternative.

Critical Peace Education

The foundation of an educational endeavour that aims to challenge racism and prepare its recipients to take action needs to be grounded in critical peace education. The objective of critical peace education is to challenge the status quo; provide an alternative vision of society; and to develop values, attitudes and skills that are aligned with those objectives (Bar-Tal, 2005). The focus is on social and political inequities and how participants can transform their communities and create social change (Bajaj, 2008). Objectives are concrete and relevant to the students and therefore uses experiential learning techniques as this is the means to internalize new values, attitudes and skills. To challenge racism, educators need to provide counter narratives and create learning environments in which students can engage as critical readers. Often peace education requires opposing groups to develop an understanding of each other (Bar-Tal, 2005) which is why it is appropriate for this context. Critical peace education would ask, how can we deconstruct the language of war and analyze the underlying messages>

Using a variety of editorials from newspapers that underline the assault of Arabs, Muslims and Middle Easterners on Western lifestyles, Gergen (2004) suggests asking students to examine the assumptions made by each author about the nature of aggression, patriotism, terrorism, and what motivates people in their actions. His purpose is to help students understand that there are other points of view beyond CNN. “It is an attempt to bring an interpretive perspective into the room where there seems to be little space for conversational differences” (Lincoln, et al., 2004, p. 147). The next step would be for students to write an editorial to a newspaper challenging and debunking the assumptions made by that newspaper.

Integrating Storytelling

Reconciliation and understanding start from empathy. Storytelling creates mutuality as it is inherently a shared activity. It creates connectivity between human beings and subsequently a space for empathy. When this empathy is created, it can prevent further abuse of the “other”. Hearing stories from the other side challenges pre-conceived notions and forces the deconstruction of stereotypes. “In the process of listening to stories as they are told, a room full of strangers can become a room full of familiar and congenial people with amity (Jayakumar, 2015, p. 4). Listeners can begin to experience the other side, that is, assailants inevitably get insights on how their victims may have felt. For those victims, storytelling has the power to heal.

Storytelling has the potential to transform social conflicts. In the process of telling their stories, storytellers can effect a change in how they are perceived from the outside. In effect, stories engage both the teller and the recipient in a collaborative process of making meaning and constructing values of empathy and tolerance while deconstructing myths, misconceptions, and stereotypes (Jayakumar, 2015).

Personal stories can be incorporated within lessons to combat Islamophobia. They would serve to give the “other” a face and a voice. Students could be asked to develop a list of what they believe to be true about Muslims. Muslim students could then be asked to share their stories about their families, beliefs and practices. Students could then re-visit their lists and identify the myths they have bought into and then begin to analyze the origins of their assumptions.

Education for Hope

Once students are able to think critically and deeply about the subtle ways Islamophobia manifests on the internet and in the media, they need to be encouraged to take social action. “In order to remake our world we must first be able to re-envision it--to dream that something different can exist in place of what we now have” (Shapiro, 2002, p. 7). That visioning is not possible without a sense of hope. This involves not only nurturing the *emotional* involvement of students in issues such as the inequity of power, social justice, domination but also making room within the study of these challenging topics for imagination and creativity. The educator needs to instil hope to ensure students do not see institutionalized oppression as insurmountable or an inevitable part of life. Rather they should be inspired to be active agents of change (Shapiro, 2002). This inspiration can be found through the stories of others who have stood up as change agents. “Education needs to be understood as more than simply a mirror that reflects the existing culture; it may also represent a light that directs our way to a more hopeful future” (Shapiro, 2002, p. 7).

In the classroom, stories can be presented that demonstrate ordinary people standing up against Islamophobia such as those by reported by Lee (2015) “People Are Forcing Islamophobic Bigots off  Twitter”, Cheney-Rice (2015), “One Woman's Stand Against an Islamophobic Bus Passenger Deserves a Standing Ovation”, and Hassan (2015) “Diverse and Strong Voices Emerging – 15,000 Stood up to Racism and Fascism in the UK”. Challenge students to stand up as these ordinary citizens have done.

Conclusion

Although according to the Pew Research Centre, it appears that Muslim-Americans are not overly impacted by Islamophia. I would argue that there is something deeply wrong if a persecuted group begins to perceive the attack on their human rights as part of life. This rings of Bourdieu’s symbolic violence where acts of violence being to appear normal. Marginalizing can impact a group in insipid ways such as lower self-esteem; instil learned helplessness and perceptions of inequity with the dominant group. Creative, bold educators are well-positioned to tackle Islamophobia in the classroom and plant seeds in the minds of youth so that they can envision a future without hate.
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